

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE LICENSING SUB COMMITTEE B

THURSDAY 13 JULY 2023

THE LIVESTREAM OF THE MEETING CAN BE VIEWED HERE: https://youtube.com/live/U0fFC1ZCpSc

Councillors Present:	Cllr Gilbert Smyth in the Chair
	Cllr Zoe Garbett and Cllr Richard Lufkin
Apologies:	Councillor Susan Fajana-Thomas and Councillor Yvonne Maxwell
Officers in Attendance:	Amanda Nauth, Licensing Lawyer Suba Sriramana, Principal Licensing Officer (acting) Gareth Sykes, Governance Officer
Also in Attendance:	<u>Item 7.Review of a Premises Licence: Simmons</u> (Formerly The Viaduct), 83 Rivington Street, London, EC2A 3AY
	<u>Applicant</u>
	On behalf of the applicant:
	Leo Charlambides, Barrister PC Sian Giles, Metropolitan Police Service PC Leon McCallister, Metropolitan Police Service
	On behalf of the Premises Licence holder:
	Gary Grant, Barrister Niall McCann, Solicitor, Consultant David Gair, Shield Associates Nick Campbell, Founder and Chief Executive Officer, Simmons
	Responsible Authorities:
	Channing Riverie, Licensing Authority
	Other persons:
	D2 - Gary Groeheim D3 - Louise Garrett D4 - Corine Delage D7 - Jonathan Moberly D10 - Davy Nougarede

D12 - Andrew Kanter

On behalf of the Eden Gardens Entertainment Ltd (former operator of the 'The Viaduct')

Marcus Lavell, Barrister

1 Election of Chair

1.1 Cllr Gilbert Smyth was duly elected as the Sub-Committee Chair.

2 Apologies for Absence

2.1 Apologies for absence were received from Cllr Susan Fajana-Thomas and Cllr Yvonne Maxwell.

3 Declarations of Interest - Members to declare as appropriate

3.1 There were no declarations of interest.

4 Minutes of the Previous Meeting

4.1 There were no minutes for consideration and approval at the meeting.

5 Licensing Sub-Committee Hearing Procedure

5.1 The meeting participants noted the hearing procedure for the meeting (hearing procedure type C).

6 Application for a Premises Licence: Abney Chapel, Abney Park, 215 Stoke Newington High Street, London, N16 0LH

6.1 Agenda item 6, Abney Chapel, was withdrawn from the meeting agenda and would be re-scheduled for a future Licensing Sub-Committee meeting.

7 Review of a Premises Licence: Simmons (Formerly The Viaduct),83 Rivington Street, London, EC2A 3AY

- 7.1 The Licensing Sub-Committee heard from Hackney Council's Principal Licensing Officer (Acting), the legal representative on behalf of the applicant (the Police), responsible authorities (Licensing), the legal representative for the premises licence holder, other persons (in objection) and the legal representative for the former operator of the premises, the Viaduct. The application was for the review of a premises licence for the Simmons, 83 Rivington Street, London, EC2A 3AY on the basis of the prevention of crime and disorder and public safety. The Licensing Authority had made representations on the grounds of the prevention of public nuisance while the other persons had made representations on the grounds of the prevention of public nuisance and the protection of children from harm.
- 7.2 The Sub-Committee noted that the premises Simmons was formerly known as The Viaduct and before that Cargo. The premises licence was reviewed

Thursday 13 July 2023

following the receipt of an application by the Police dated 29 October 2021. The holder of the licence appealed to Magistrates against the decision to revoke the licence made by the Licensing Sub-Committee on 14 December 2021. Following negotiation, which included revised and additional conditions and reduced hours, the appeal was settled and agreed through a Consent Order.

- 7.3 There was a brief discussion between the legal representative for the premises licence holder and the legal representative for the former operator of the premises as to who would own the premises if the licence was revoked. The former party claimed that if the Sub-Committee was minded to revoke the licence it would revert back to the former owner while the latter party disputed this and insisted that Simmons was now the owner of the premises.
- 7.4 During the course of the meeting there was a discussion where a number of points were raised including the following:
 - In response to a question about the Arch on site, the premises licence holder replied that it would operate as a restaurant, in which the sale of alcohol would not be sold, supplied, or consumed on site unless it was ancillary to a table meal. The founder and Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of Simmons rejected a suggestion about making the sale and consumption of alcohol ancillary to a table meal applicable across the entire premises;
 - Reply to a question about how local residents would contact the premises to address any concerns around noise and disruption, the founder and CEO of Simmons replied that he was keen to build a good relationship with neighbours and that, for example, the Designated Premises Supervisor's (DPS) contact details would be made available. They added that they would not be able undertake this however, until they were informed of the outcome of the Licensing Sub-Committee;
 - The founder and CEO of Simmons agreed to hold meetings with local residents;
 - Some of the other persons were of the view that the premises had not made an effort to engage with local residents while others welcomed the opportunity to meet the founder and CEO of Simmons;
 - In response to a question from the Sub-Committee Chair, the founder and CEO of Simmons was content to meet two meetings year with local residents;
 - In response to a question about why the Police originally agreed to the transfer in March 2023, the legal representative for the applicant, the Police, replied that there were concerns at the time about the premises but the threshold had not been met for the Police to reject the transfer;
 - The legal representative for the premises licence holder explained that if the application was not revoked the premises would be subject a number of conditions;
 - In response to a question about the Shoreditch Bar Group (SBG) evidence, supplied by Viaduct, specifically its Safer Venue Guide, the legal representative for the former operator explained that in hindsight when the serious incident had occurred in February 2023 more Security Industry Association (SIA) staff should have been on

duty at the premises after the previous serious incident had occurred in 2022;

- Replying to a question from a committee member about the two serious incidents in 2022 and 2023, the Licensing Authority responded that it was up to the Committee members to decide to on how much weight to give to those two incidents, which had occurred under the previous operator, when making their final decision on the application before them at the meeting;
- In response to a question from the Council's Licensing Lawyer, the legal representative for the applicant explained that the response from the premises licence holder at the time of the breach was in their view was insufficient;
- The legal representative for the former operator of the premises responded that following the second incident at the premises they had engaged with the Police and there had been ongoing talks. However, the Police had then called for a review and the former operator of the premises agreed to a transfer of the licence;
- In response to a question from the Sub-Committee Chair, the legal representative for the applicant replied that when they had applied for a review they had taken into the impact of the breach in relation to the 'character of the area', as set out in the Licensing Act;
- In response to a question from the Sub-Committee Chair, the other persons despite hearing from the premises licence holder remained concerned about anti-social behaviour and noise disturbance in the immediate area;
- The legal representative for the premises licence holder stated that the cumulative impact should not be used by the Sub-Committee to revoke a premises licence.
- Responding to a question about Simmons taking over the premises, the Police made representations that they did not have an issue with Simmons taking over the premises. However, they did take into consideration the history of the premises such as the review that took place in 2021 when the premises operated as a nightclub prior to the revocation of the premises licence;
- The Sub-Committee heard that at the time of the Consent Order, local residents were not considered and were very upset by the appeal being settled in August 2022;
- The Police stated that the serious incident could have been avoided if the former licensee complied with their conditions and felt a transfer is inappropriate in this case while a review is still pending;
- The local residents in their representations spoke about the life changing difficulties including disturbed sleep late at night and the anti-social behaviour they had experienced. The crime rate around the venue had been contributing heavily towards anti-social behaviour;
- The Sub-Committee also heard that on the 11 December 2022 a serious incident took place at the premises and at the end of March 2023, the premises closed down fully;
- In response to a question from the Sub-Committee, local residents replied that the Boundary Estate comprised 800 homes and half of the tenants were council tenants. It was to the east side of the venue. The estate was impacted upon by the Shoreditch night time economy. People visiting the area used the estate for parking;

- The Sub-Committee took into consideration that the extent of these issues is symptomatic of the way in which the premises are managed by the previous licence holder;
- The Sub-Committee members highlighted that when they were making their decision they would take into consideration how the new licence holder was intending to operate the premises.
- 7.5 In their closing remarks the premises licence holder explained how they had put a lot of effort into building up their businesses over the years and they had a proven track record of running a professional business. In response to a question from the Sub-Committee Chair, they replied that they would not be able to run the premises at 83 Rivington Street as half a bar and half a restaurant.
- 7.6 In their closing remarks several of the other persons replied that they had nothing further to add. Some other persons recommended that the licence be revoked while, another would welcome fresh discussions between local residents and the premises licence holder.
- 7.7 The legal representative for the applicant for the premises licence holder in their closing remarks emphasised the proven track history of his client; they ran 26 venues in London two of which were in the Shoreditch area. These venues had not received any complaints and his client had successfully worked with the Licensing Authority, Environmental Health and the Police. As previously explained, Simmons owned the premises but if the Sub-Committee were minded to revoke the licence then ownership of the premises would revert back to the previous operator Viaduct. They added that the concerns raised by local residents during the meeting were to do with wider issues relating to the Special Policy Area (SPA). They also highlighted that if the Sub-Committee were minded not to revoke the licence then prior to Simmons opening they would meet with local residents to address their concerns.
- 7.8 In their closing remarks the legal representative for the applicant, the Police, disputed the claims made by the premises licence holder, highlighting that they would only undertake changes if the licence was not revoked. The legal representative for the applicant was of the view that this demonstrated that the premises licence holder was not bearing in mind the concerns of local residents. They also felt that the democratic accountability was being undermined by attempting to get the licence granted through a review rather than the premises licence holder submitting a brand new premises licence application for scrutiny and decision. They recommended that the licence be revoked.

The decision

8 Temporary Event Notices - Standing Item

8.1 None.

END OF MEETING

Duration of the meeting: 7.00pm - 10.33pm

Cllr Gilbert Smyth Chair of the Licensing Sub-Committee

Contact: Gareth Sykes Governance Officer Email: governance@hackney.gov.uk